



ISO/IEC 21823-4

Edition 1.0 2022-03

# INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



---

**Internet of things (IoT) – Interoperability for IoT systems –  
Part 4: Syntactic interoperability**

INTERNATIONAL  
ELECTROTECHNICAL  
COMMISSION

---

ICS 33.020

ISBN 978-2-8322-4908-6

**Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.**

## CONTENTS

FOREWORD.....	4
INTRODUCTION.....	5
1 Scope.....	6
2 Normative references .....	6
3 Terms and definitions .....	6
4 Abbreviated terms .....	7
5 Principle for IoT syntactic interoperability .....	7
5.1 General.....	7
5.2 Principle for IoT syntactic interoperability.....	7
5.3 Relevant technologies for syntactic interoperability .....	8
5.3.1 Metamodel and syntactic interoperability .....	8
5.3.2 Metamodel-driven approaches supporting interoperability issues.....	9
5.4 The overall structure of the proposed approach .....	9
5.5 The methodology of metamodel-driven information exchange .....	10
5.6 Information exchange rules .....	11
5.6.1 Categories of information exchange rules .....	11
5.6.2 Information exchange rules expression.....	12
5.6.3 Information exchange rules expression example.....	12
6 Requirements on information related to IoT devices .....	12
6.1 General.....	12
6.2 General requirements on the translation rules.....	13
6.2.1 General .....	13
6.2.2 Required intrinsic properties of physical IoT devices (IPIoT).....	13
6.2.3 Required extrinsic properties of physical IoT devices (EPIoT).....	14
6.3 General requirements on the operation rules .....	15
6.3.1 Overview of mismatches between IoT systems .....	15
6.3.2 Required properties and syntactic resolutions for potential IoT mismatches .....	17
6.3.3 Details of required properties and syntactic resolutions for potential IoT mismatches .....	18
7 A framework for IoT syntactic interoperability .....	30
7.1 General.....	30
7.2 A conceptual model for dataset of operation rules (DOR).....	31
7.3 Detailed procedures for a syntactic interoperability framework .....	31
7.3.1 Procedure A to prepare the required properties and resolutions.....	31
7.3.2 Procedure B to create information exchange rules (DIER) .....	32
7.3.3 Procedure C to execute the information exchange rules and check the result .....	32
Annex A (informative) Properties for physical IoT devices and data .....	33
A.1 Intrinsic properties of physical IoT devices.....	33
A.2 Extrinsic properties of physical IoT devices.....	35
Annex B (informative) A use case .....	37
B.1 General.....	37
B.2 The use case overview: Connected car and vehicle in smart city .....	37
B.3 A scenario of this use case .....	38
B.3.1 The architecture of this use case .....	38

- B.3.2 Scenario: Data exchange between a connected car and a traffic management system (TMS) ..... 38
- B.4 Examples used in this use case ..... 39
  - B.4.1 General ..... 39
  - B.4.2 Illustrated example files and their relationships ..... 40
- Annex C (informative) Other metamodel definitions ..... 41
- Bibliography ..... 42

- Figure 1 – The overall structure of the proposed approach ..... 9
- Figure 2 – Model hierarchies and metamodel-driven information exchange rules ..... 10
- Figure 3 – Categories of information exchange rules ..... 11
- Figure 4 – Excerpted information exchange rules for Annex B ..... 12
- Figure 5 – Classifications of requirements on information related to IoT devices ..... 13
- Figure 6 – A procedure for mismatch detection and resolution ..... 16
- Figure 7 – An example of mismatch detection and resolution ..... 17
- Figure 8 – A framework for processes on developing information exchange rules related to IoT devices from the syntactic viewpoint ..... 30
- Figure 9 – An excerpted conceptual model of DOR (dataset of operation rules) ..... 31
- Figure 10 – Steps of Procedure A ..... 32
- Figure B.1 – Overall view of use case 1 ..... 37
- Figure B.2 – Architecture of connected car and vehicle in smart city use case ..... 38
- Figure B.3 – Information exchange between a car and a TMS ..... 38
- Figure B.4 – Relationships of example files for this use case ..... 40

- Table 1 – Required intrinsic properties of physical IoT devices ..... 14
- Table 2 – Required extrinsic properties of physical IoT devices ..... 15
- Table 3 – Required properties and resolutions for potential IoT mismatches ..... 18
- Table 4 – Mismatch1: Synchronization mismatch ..... 19
- Table 5 – Mismatch2: Sampling frequency mismatch ..... 20
- Table 6 – Mismatch3: Location mismatch ..... 21
- Table 7 – Mismatch4: Data recording pattern mismatch ..... 22
- Table 8 – Mismatch5: Precision mismatch ..... 23
- Table 9 – Mismatch6: Significant figure mismatch ..... 24
- Table 10 – Mismatch7: Range mismatch ..... 25
- Table 11 – Mismatch8: Calibration mismatch ..... 26
- Table 12 – Mismatch9: Response time mismatch ..... 27
- Table 13 – Mismatch10: Acquisition status mismatch ..... 28
- Table 14 – Mismatch11: Unit mismatch ..... 29
- Table A.1 – Intrinsic properties of physical IoT devices ..... 33
- Table A.2 – Extrinsic properties of physical IoT devices ..... 36
- Table C.1 – Definitions of metamodel in various resources ..... 41

# INTERNET OF THINGS (IoT) – INTEROPERABILITY FOR IoT SYSTEMS –

## Part 4: Syntactic interoperability

### FOREWORD

- 1) ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC and ISO on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC and ISO National bodies.
- 3) IEC and ISO documents have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC and ISO National bodies in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC and ISO documents is accurate, IEC and ISO cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC and ISO National bodies undertake to apply IEC and ISO documents transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC and ISO document and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC and ISO do not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC and ISO marks of conformity. IEC and ISO are not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this document.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC and ISO or their directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC and ISO National bodies for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this ISO/IEC document or any other IEC and ISO documents.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this document. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this document.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this ISO/IEC document may be the subject of patent rights. IEC and ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC 21823-4 has been prepared by subcommittee 41: Internet of Things and Digital Twin, of ISO/IEC joint technical committee 1: Information technology. It is an International Standard.

The text of this International Standard is based on the following documents:

Draft	Report on voting
JTC1-SC41/255/FDIS	JTC1-SC41/269/RVD

Full information on the voting for its approval can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

The language used for the development of this International Standard is English.

This document was drafted in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, and developed in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 and ISO/IEC Directives, IEC Supplement, available at [www.iec.ch/members\\_experts/refdocs](http://www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs) and [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives).

**IMPORTANT – The "colour inside" logo on the cover page of this document indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.**

## INTRODUCTION

In the world of the Internet of Things (IoT), heterogeneous systems and devices need to be connected and exchange data with others. How data exchange can be implemented becomes a key issue of interoperability among IoT industries. Information models (IMs), which can well represent specifications of data, are adopted and utilized to solve the interoperability problem. Meanwhile, as systems and devices in IoT can have different information models with different modelling methodologies and formats, interoperability based on different information models is recognized as an urgent problem. The IoT interoperability related systems and applications have an 11 trillion market potentially [1]<sup>1</sup>.

The ISO/IEC 21823 series standards address issues that relate to interoperability both between different IoT systems and within a single IoT system. ISO/IEC 21823-1 [2] describes a general framework for interoperability for IoT systems. It includes a five facet model for interoperability that includes transport, syntactic, semantic, behavioural, and policy viewpoints.

Different parts of ISO/IEC 21823, based on one of the facets, provide specifications from their corresponding viewpoints. Each of the parts can refer to others but is independent. Currently, ISO/IEC 21823-2 [3] defines specifications from the transport viewpoint, ISO/IEC 21823-3 [4] defines requirements, provides guidance, etc. from the semantic viewpoint, and ISO/IEC 21823-4 specifies the syntactic interoperability.

Syntactic interoperability means that exchanged information can be understood by the participating IoT systems which contain IoT devices. In more detail, the syntactic interoperability is related to the information models' representing formats, structures, and grammar of their modelling languages such as a length of a data string, constraints on data types, and forbidden characters.

This document first provides the principle of how to achieve syntactic interoperability based on metamodel-driven approaches. In other words, the reason why the information exchange rules based on metamodels can support syntactic interoperability among different IoT systems will be elaborated. Secondly, requirements on information models such as metamodels and models of IoT systems including IoT devices are described. Features related to IoT devices such as the identifier, device type, setup environments, and functions need to be considered to accomplish syntactic interoperability among different information models utilized in IoT systems. Thirdly, a framework for processes on developing information exchange rules related to IoT devices from the syntactic viewpoint is provided. For example, the kinds of metamodels, and the types of entities and relationships that shall be selected are specified, and the procedure of how to build the information exchange rules from different information models is provided.

In Annex A, possible intrinsic and extrinsic properties of IoT devices are listed as additional information of Clause 6. In Annex B, a use case of how the syntactic interoperability in accordance with specifications in this document among industrial IoT systems and IoT devices is described.

With this document, system and device vendors, who need to improve and/or develop their products to comply with IoT requirements, can implement specifications of this document to their products for an automatic or semi-automatic realization of IoT syntactic interoperability.

---

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.

# **INTERNET OF THINGS (IoT) – INTEROPERABILITY FOR IoT SYSTEMS –**

## **Part 4: Syntactic interoperability**

### **1 Scope**

This part of ISO/IEC 21823 specifies the IoT interoperability from a syntactic point of view. In this document, the following specifications for IoT interoperability from a syntactic viewpoint are included:

- a principle of how to achieve syntactic interoperability among IoT systems which include IoT devices;
- requirements on information related to IoT devices for syntactic interoperability;
- a framework for processes on developing information exchange rules related to IoT devices from the syntactic viewpoint.

### **2 Normative references**

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 20924, *Internet of Things (IoT) – Vocabulary*